



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/881,728	06/18/2001	Yoichiro Tomari	1163-0341P	8265
2292	7590	01/14/2005	EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ALAUBAIDI, HAYTHIM J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2161	
DATE MAILED: 01/14/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application N .	Applicant(s)
	09/881,728	TOMARI ET AL.
	Examiner Haythim J. Alaubaidi	Art Unit 2161

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 September 2004.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on 18 June 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is in response to the Amendment of September 01, 2004.
2. Claims 1-19 are presented for examination following the amendment September 01, 2004, of which Claims 1, 9, 13 and 17 are Independent.
3. Claims 1-19, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e).

Priority

4. Applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §119(a)–(d) is acknowledged, the Applicant was accorded the benefit of the earlier filing date of November 10, 1999.

Request for Continued Examination

5. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on September 01, 2004 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed on September 01, 2004 in regard to the new added limitation of "whether answer has been completed or not" that Lee does not teach this limitation. The Examiner however disagrees. Lee discloses whether answer has been completed or not (Figure No. 14, i.e. status column and corresponding text; see also Col 23, Lines 47-61; see also Figure No. 14, i.e. Read column¹; see also Figure No. 25, i.e. the date and time of the response or answer 14/11/95 11:24 AM²).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

¹ Please note that the "Y" and "N" under the Read column may also read on the limitation of the answering state whether it is completed or not, as the "Y" means completed and the "N" mean not completed, especially when taking into consideration the meaning of the "answering state" in the Specification of the current Application (Page 4, Lines 1-3) wherein the "answering state" is really a "processing state" of the "history information" (e-mail), in other words, the status of the e-mail whether it is processed or not.

² Please note that the date and time of the answer or response from Shirley would also read on the limitation of the "answering state" especially when taking into consideration the meaning of the "answering state" in the Specification of the current Application (Page 4, Lines 1-3) wherein the "answering state" is really a "processing state" of the "history information" (e-mail), in other words, the status of the e-mail whether it is processed or not; in this case, it is for a completed answer or a completed reply (the claim indicates an "or" as the Examiner has the option to select one of the two alternatives).

8. Claims 1-19, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bryan I-chuen Lee (U.S. Patent No. 6,212,553 and Lee hereinafter).

Regarding Claim 1, Lee discloses an information history list display section for displaying communication history³ (Figure 14, 35 and 36 and corresponding text) in connection with answering state, (Figure 36, Elements 3620 and 3610 and corresponding text; see also Figure 14, i.e. status; see also Col 5, Lines 49-56) whether answer has been completed or not, of the respective communication (Figure No. 14, i.e. status column and corresponding text; see also Col 23, Lines 47-61; see also Figure No. 14, i.e. Read column⁴; see also Figure No. 25, i.e. the date and time of the response or answer 14/11/95 11:24 AM⁵) based on history related information⁶ (Figures 35 and 36 and corresponding text)⁷ which is generated by outgoing or incoming communication (Figures 35 [outgoing] and 36 [incoming] and corresponding text) and

³ Please note that the Examiner is interpreting the "communication history" to be the same as an "e-mail message". This is according to the Specification of the current application (see page 1, Lines 15-17).

⁴ Please note that the "Y" and "N" under the Read column may also read on the limitation of the answering state whether it is completed or not, as the "Y" means completed and the "N" mean not completed, especially when taking into consideration the meaning of the "answering state" in the Specification of the current Application (Page 4, Lines 1-3) wherein the "answering state" is really a "processing state" of the "history information" (e-mail), in other words, the status of the e-mail whether it is processed or not.

⁵ Please note that the date and time of the answer or response from Shirley would also read on the limitation of the "answering state" especially when taking into consideration the meaning of the "answering state" in the Specification of the current Application (Page 4, Lines 1-3) wherein the "answering state" is really a "processing state" of the "history information" (e-mail), in other words, the status of the e-mail whether it is processed or not; in this case, it is for a completed answer or a completed reply (the claim indicates an "or" as the Examiner has the option to select one of the two alternatives).

⁶ Please note that the Examiner is interpreting the "history related information" to be the same as a "status" of n e-mail.

indicates the answering state of the respective communication (Figure 36, Elements 3620 and 3610 and corresponding text; see also Figure 14, i.e. status; see also Col 5, Lines 49-56).

Regarding Claim 2, Lee discloses
a history management section for recording the communication history (Col 8, Lines 60-64);
and an information management table for recording the history related information (status) that indicates the answering state of the respective communication stored in said history management section (Col 5, Lines 57-64; see also Col 23, Lines 16-37).

Regarding Claim 3, Lee discloses wherein said information management table records relationships between the respective communication (e-mail) and said information history list display section (Col 5, Lines 57-64; see also Figure 14 and associated text).

Regarding Claim 4, Lee discloses plurality of different communication means (Figure 1, Element 12 and 13; see also Col 13, Line 49).

Regarding Claim 5, a personal address book for recording a personal name of a communication party (Figure 3, Element 335; see also Col 13, Line 52) and a communication address of the communication party (Col 13, Line 52); and an address

⁷ The "history related information" would be the e-mails that were sent out the first time to inquire about

book search section for searching said personal address book (Col 17, Lines 24-39) wherein said information history list display section displays the personal name in substitution for the communication address included in the history information stored in said history management section in accordance with a retrieval result from said personal address book by said address book search section (Figure 14 and corresponding text, i.e. the names of the names of the senders under the "From" column displays the personal name, such as, "Bob Winters" in substitution for the communication address (e-mail address).

Regarding Claim 6, Lee discloses wherein the communication history (e-mails) and the history related information (status) are stored in a server (Col 9, Lines 8-10).

Regarding Claims 7 and 8, the limitations of these claims are similar in scope to the rejected claims 2 and 6, above. They are therefore rejected as set forth above.

Regarding Claim 9, the limitations of this claim are similar in scope to the rejected claim 1, above. In addition, Lee discloses a server comprising: a personal information management section (address book with personal info) for transmitting, in response to a request, information to said information terminal (Col 17, Lines 24-38).

Regarding Claims 10-12, the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejection of claim 9, above. In edition, Lee discloses:

a history management section for recording communication history (Col 8, Lines 60-64); and

an information management table for recording history related information which indicates the answering state of the respective communication stored in said history management section (Col 5, Lines 57-64; see also Col 23, Lines 16-37).

Regarding Claims 13-16, the limitations of this claim has been noted in the rejection of claims 1, 2 and 3, above.

Regarding Claim 17, Lee discloses:

recording communication of respective communication (Col 8, Lines 60-64)
recording history related information and indicates an answering state of the respective communication (Col 5, Lines 57-64; see also Col 23, Lines 16-37)
and displaying the respective communication in connection with the answering state of the respective communication based on the history related information (Figure 14, i.e. status; see also Col 5, Lines 49-56).

Regarding Claims 18-19, the limitations of these claims are similar in scope to the rejected claims 1-3, above. They are therefore rejected as set forth above.

Points of Contact

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Haythim J. Alaubaidi whose telephone number is (571) 272-4014. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic, can be reached on (571) 272-4023.

Any response to this office action should be mailed to:

The Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231 or telefax at our fax number (703) 872-9306.

Hand-delivered response should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, 6th Floor Receptionist, Arlington, Virginia. 22202.

Haythim J. Alaubaidi

Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2100
Art Unit 2161
January 7, 2005



SAFET METJAHIC
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100